



**AFRL-RH-WP-TR-2012-0047**

**TRIAL, ADOPTION, USAGE AND DIFFUSION OF  
SOCIAL MEDIA**

**Anand Jeyaraj**  
**Principal Investigator**  
**Associate Professor of Information Systems**  
**Raj Soin College of Business**  
**Wright State University**  
**Dayton OH 45435**

**1Lt LaToya Malone**  
**Patterns of Life Branch**  
**Forecasting Division**

**DECEMBER 2011**  
**Final Report**

**Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.**

**AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY**  
**711<sup>TH</sup> HUMAN PERFORMANCE WING**  
**HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS DIRECTORATE**  
**WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433**  
**AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND**  
**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE**

## NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (<http://www.dtic.mil>).

AFRL-RH-WP-TR-2012-0047 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

\*//Signature//  
1LT LATOYA MALONE  
Work Unit Manager  
Patterns of Life Branch

\*//Signature//  
LOUISE CARTER, Ph.D  
Forecasting Division  
Human Effectiveness Directorate  
711th Human Performance Wing  
Air Force Research Laboratory

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b></p>					
<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY)</b> 1 Dec 11		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Final		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b> 10/01/09 – 12/1/11	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Trial, Adoption, Usage and Diffusion of Social Media				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> In-House	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b> 62202F	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Anand Jeyaraj				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> 7184	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> X	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b> 7184X14W	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Raj Soin College of Business Wright State University Dayton OH 45435				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Air Force Materiel Command Air Force Research Laboratory 711 <sup>th</sup> Human Performance Wing Human Effectiveness Directorate Forecasting Division Patterns of Life Branch Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-7022				<b>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S)</b>  AFRL/RHXS	
				<b>11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S)</b>  AFRL-RH-WP-TR-2012-0047	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:</b> 88ABW/PA cleared 26 March 2012; 88ABW-2012-1754					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> While new social media such as Facebook, Twitter, and MySpace have become increasingly prevalent among a large proportion of the work force across different national cultures, there is a dearth of research-based knowledge as to why individuals experiment with, adopt, use, and propagate new media innovations. This report outlines findings from exploratory research based on two rounds of data collection involving similar populations of individuals and presents a survey instrument for future research using a longitudinal design. The first campaign resulted in both qualitative (interview) and quantitative (survey) data from 80 individuals, which yielded an understanding of how individuals engaged with new media. The findings from this analysis as well as issues uncovered from this campaign were used to develop a new research instrument for further research. The second resulted in both qualitative (interview) and quantitative (survey) data from 65 individuals using the new research instrument at the end of the first campaign. The analysis showed some additional improvement and insights. However, both campaigns involved cross-sectional data that was useful for understanding trial, adoption, and use. Finally, a survey instrument was newly developed for a longitudinal data collection effort based on the insights gained from the first two campaigns.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS: Social media, Trial, Adoption, Usage, and Diffusion.</b>					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT:</b>  SAR	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>  71	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor)</b> 1Lt LaToya Malone <b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)</b> (937) 656-4914
<b>a. REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified			

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
List of Tables .....	iv
1.0 SUMMARY .....	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION .....	2
2.1 Approach.....	2
3.0 METHODS, ASSUMPTIONS, AND PROCEDURES .....	3
3.1 Coding of Interview Data.....	3
3.2 Issues with Coding and Recommendations .....	3
3.3 Demographics .....	4
3.4 Technologies Reported in the Interviews .....	5
3.5 Technology Features Used by Respondents .....	6
3.6 Reasons for Trial, Adoption, and Usage .....	8
3.7 Factors Influencing Trial, Adoption and Usage.....	10
3.8 Cleaning Data Gathered Using Surveys .....	12
3.9 Dispositions of Individuals .....	12
3.10 Reliability Analysis of Scales .....	13
3.11 Dependent and Independent Variables .....	13
3.12 Correlations .....	18
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	19
4.1 Technology Used .....	19
4.2 New Data Collection from 65 Individuals .....	29
4.3 New Survey Instrument for Longitudinal Data Collection.....	29
4.4 Other Contributions .....	30
5.0 REFERENCES .....	31
APPENDIX A - Coding Sheet.....	33
APPENDIX B - Survey Instrument .....	34
APPENDIX C - New Interview Guide .....	37
APPENDIX D - Survey Instrument for Longitudinal Study .....	40
LIST OF ACRONYMS .....	64

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Respondent Profile.....	4
2 Social Media Technologies Reported by Respondents.....	5
3 Social Networking Features Usage .....	6
4 Content Sharing Features Usage .....	6
5 Blogging Features Usage .....	7
6 Gaming Features Usage .....	7
7 Online Forums Features Usage .....	7
8 Podcasting Features Usage .....	7
9 Reasons for Trial.....	8
10 Reasons for Adoption .....	9
11 Social Reasons for Usage.....	10
12 Factors Influencing Trial.....	11
13 Factors Influencing Adoption .....	11
14 Factors Influencing Usage .....	12
15 Descriptive Statistics of Individual Dispositions.....	13
16 Descriptive Statistics (N, Mean, SD) of Dependent Variables .....	14
17 Descriptive Statistics (N, Mean, SD) of Independent Variables .....	15
18 Correlations between Dependent Variables/Independent Factors for Trial of Facebook .....	20
19 Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Trial of MySpace .....	21
20 Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Trial of YouTube .....	22
21 Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Adoption of Facebook.....	23
22 Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Adoption of MySpace .....	24
23 Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Adoption of YouTube .....	25
24 Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Usage	

	of Facebook.....	26
25	Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Usage of MySpace .....	27
26	Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Usage of YouTube .....	28

## **1.0 SUMMARY**

While new social media such as Facebook, Twitter, and MySpace have become increasingly prevalent among a large proportion of the work force across different national cultures, there is a dearth of research-based knowledge as to why individuals experiment with, adopt, use, and propagate new media innovations. This report outlines findings from exploratory research based on two rounds of data collection involving similar populations of individuals and presents a survey instrument for future research using a longitudinal design. The first campaign resulted in both qualitative (interview) and quantitative (survey) data from 80 individuals, which yielded an understanding of how individuals engaged with new media. The findings from this analysis as well as issues uncovered from this campaign were used to develop a new research instrument for further research. The second resulted in both qualitative (interview) and quantitative (survey) data from 65 individuals using the new research instrument at the end of the first campaign. The analysis showed some additional improvement and insights. However, both campaigns involved cross-sectional data that was useful for understanding trial, adoption, and use. Finally, a survey instrument was newly developed for a longitudinal data collection effort based on the insights gained from the first two campaigns.



## **2.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **2.1 Approach**

New social media such as Facebook, Twitter, and MySpace have diffused rapidly among a large proportion of the work force across different national cultures. Social media differ considerably from traditional information technologies and systems such as productivity tools, transaction processing systems, and enterprise systems found in a typical workplace. First, social media is largely dependent on the “social interaction” between individuals and serves as a technology that enables such interaction. Second, social media allows participating individuals to generate unique content through a variety of methods such as blogs, discussions, and multimedia such that the user experience emerges over time. Finally, social media is capable of high reach and richness, can span workplace boundaries, and provide certain levels of anonymity.

Individuals within organizations are known to spend a considerable amount of time on these social media every day. This is despite the lack of definitive understanding that social media do contribute to the efficiency and effectiveness of workplaces. Moreover, there is some evidence that traditional information technologies and systems in voluntary use settings do not diffuse rapidly or get accepted completely by individuals. These raise questions as to “how” and “why” social media are different from traditional information technologies or systems, as well as the “enablers” of the trial, adoption, usage, and diffusion of social media – the principal focus areas and research questions of this study.

Prior literature on the adoption and use of information systems has employed a variety of models such as the Theory of Reasoned Action, Theory of Planned Behavior, Social Cognitive Theory, Diffusion of Innovations, Technology Acceptance Model and its variants, Perceived Characteristics of Innovating, Information Systems Success Model, Motivation Models, Model of Personal Computer Utilization, Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology; however, these models have generally attributed greater importance to technological and organizational characteristics more than the characteristics of individuals – which may be a crucial factor in understanding the trial, adoption, usage, and diffusion of social media.

Consequently, a “grounded theory” approach was employed for this research and data were gathered from 80 individuals through in-depth semi-structured interviews (which were video- and audio- taped; the audio tapes were also transcribed into electronic text for analysis). The transcription resulted in about 1,500 pages of textual data. The transcribed textual data are to be examined for themes, patterns, and associations such that an explanatory model containing different constructs representing the enablers of the trial, adoption, usage, and diffusion of social media may be developed for further study. Future research will be aimed at generalizing the findings from the interviews to a broader population across different national cultures, which may be accomplished through a multi-country study; however, the research approach for the future study (should it be surveys, interviews, or a mix of both?) needs to be identified. These activities were performed by the investigator solely and/or with the assistance of a graduate assistant expressly hired for this purpose.

### **3.0 METHODS, ASSUMPTIONS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **3.1 Coding of Interview Data**

To ensure uniform coding of interview data, a coding sheet was designed (see Appendix A). The coding sheet enabled the coding of several themes from the data, including how individuals became aware of the social media (e.g., mass media vs. interpersonal communication), reasons why individuals trialed, adopted, and used social media, the features of the social media they used, their attitudes towards social media, and their use (e.g., time of use, length of use) of the social media technologies.

Since individuals had been allowed to report their experiences with multiple technologies if they preferred, the coding process was formulated such that a separate coding sheet was completed for each technology discussed by the respondents. This resulted in multiple observations for respondents. A total of 335 observations were recorded, which is an average of 4.18 technologies for each respondent in the study.

#### **3.2 Issues with Coding and Recommendations**

The interviews were not conducted in a consistent manner which is a severe problem when coding the interview data and understanding the research phenomenon. The following depict the types of problems uncovered during the coding process.

- Interview questions did not seem to comply with the purpose of research: why people try/adopt/continue to use the technologies. Specifically, the major research question remains unanswered in several interviews conducted for this research.
- Interview questions deviated from the main research objectives and focused considerably on hypothetical situations. An example of this line of questioning is: “If your friends stop using Facebook/MySpace, would you continue to use it?”
- Interview questions were not consistently asked of all participants in the research resulting in missing data. For instance, some interviews contain information on “frequency of use” and “time of use,” but others completely missed this information.
- Interview questions based on certain technologies such as blogs/games/online forums were very weak with regard to the research objectives. The discussions were not followed through completely resulting in partial views of the research phenomenon.
- Interview questions also centered on the adoption/use behaviors of the respondents’ contacts (such as cousins and friends) while ignoring the adoption/use behaviors of the respondents themselves, resulting in incomplete data.

The following are some recommendations to more effectively manage the interview and data collection process for future research.

- Require data collection agencies to properly train the interviewers such that they better understand the phenomenon and be better interviewers.

- Require data collection agencies to engage interviewers in monitored data collection or pilot data collection such that they can be advised on potential problems.
- Require data collection agencies to ensure that interviewers stick to questions specified on the interview guide to improve consistency of data collection.

### 3.3 Demographics

Based on the demographic data captured in the survey, about one-third of the participants were female and two-thirds were male, and aged between 18 and 25 years of age. They were predominantly from the United States, although about 35% of the participants represented other regions of the world. There was an almost even distribution between Caucasians, African Americans, and Hispanics, while the others represented about 23% of the sample. Table 1 shows the distribution of respondents on different characteristics.

**Table 1: Respondent Profile**

		Number of Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Male	49	61.3
	Female	31	38.8
Age group	18 to 21	31	38.8
	22 to 25	49	61.3
Ethnicity	African American	22	27.5
	Caucasian	20	25.0
	Hispanic	19	23.8
	Asian or Pacific Island	12	15.0
	Other	7	8.8
Region	USA	50	62.5
	Rest of world	28	35.0
	Missing data	2	2.5
Income	Less than \$40,000	23	28.8
	\$40,000 to under \$50,000	18	22.5
	More than \$50,000	34	42.5
	Missing data	5	6.3
Home	Urban	40	50.0
	Suburban	21	26.3
	Rural	17	21.3
	Missing data	2	2.5

### 3.4 Technologies Reported in the Interviews

Participants in this research used a variety of social media technologies, including social networking (e.g., Facebook), content sharing (e.g., YouTube), blogging, gaming, web sites, and podcasting. Table 2 contains the list of technologies found in the interviews.

To ensure the independence of observations, the data analysis was conducted at the most appropriate level as possible. For instance, since individuals reported on multiple social networking sites, data analysis was conducted at the level of the ‘technology.’ However, some individuals reported not more than one gaming site; hence, analysis was conducted at the level of the ‘type of technology.’

**Table 2: Social Media Technologies Reported by Respondents**

Type of Technology	Name of Technology	Number of Respondents
Social networking	Facebook	66
	MySpace	58
	Others	6
Content sharing	YouTube	74
	Others	14
Blogging		47
Gaming		33
Online forums		28
Podcasting		9

The other (i.e., excluding Facebook and MySpace) social networking technologies reported by the respondents include Micrente, Orchid, Livejournal, Hi5, and Friendster. The other (i.e., excluding YouTube) content sharing technologies reported by the respondents include ifilm, Stage6, Dailymotionz, Bet.com, Google video, TV links, Webshot, Photobucket, Pickvideos, bwcinema.com, Watchvisitors.com, and Videoarcade.

The blogging technologies reported by the respondents include Xanga, Blogspot, blog.com, MSNblog, overblog, blogstudio, Techeblog, crooks and liars blog, M live, Myspace blog, America-Online Instant Messenger (AIM), Single mothers, and hiphopgame.com. The gaming technologies reported by the respondents include Poker and card games, Tabuto games, World of Warcraft, New Grounds, Ultima online, Halo Online, Madden game, Xbox, PS3, Mafia Wars, Jabanoid.com, Battlefield2, Stratix.com, online chess, and Addicting Games.

The online forums technologies reported by the respondents include Cricket.org, Allmsu.org, angel.com, eastcoastriders.com, Craigslist.com, Lineage2forum.com, Entertainment and Sports Programming Network (ESPN) radio.com, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) GSMarena.com, and on-line video (OV) guide.com. The podcasting technologies reported by the respondents include Michigan State University (MSU) podcasts, Major League Baseball (MLB) podcasts, ESPN radio station podcasts, National Public Radio (NPR) podcasts, film

podcasts, National Guard Bureau (NGB) podcasts and Cable News Network (CNN), and Fox News podcasts.

### 3.5 Technology Features Used by Respondents

An independent study was conducted to determine the specific features of the technology for each technology type (shown on the leftmost column in each of the following tables). This was done by visiting the website of each technology and gathering the specific features and capabilities of the technology. The data from interviews were then coded to determine the extent to which the respondents used the features of the technologies (see Tables 3 through 8).

**Table 3: Social Networking Features Usage**

Feature	Facebook Users	MySpace Users	Other Technology Users
Account	66	58	6
Messages	50	30	2
Upload photos/videos	46	40	2
Post comments	46	30	1
Groups	44	9	----
Search	42	40	0
Applications	36	11	0
Tag/untag pictures	35	8	----
Setup Profile	34	29	1
Events	9	0	----
Chat	0	1	----
Games	0	0	----
Button features	15	----	----
News-feed	1	----	----
Receive notifications	0	----	----
Background change	----	19	----
Bulletins	----	10	----
Other	----	----	1

----: Not applicable since feature not available for technology at time of research

**Table 4: Content Sharing Features Usage**

Feature	YouTube Users	Other Technology Users
Search	73	11
View videos/photos	71	12
Links	44	2
Account	37	4
Comment	13	0
Upload videos	12	2
Profile	0	0

Rate videos	0	0
Subscribe	0	----
Favorites	0	----

----: Not applicable since feature not available for technology at time of research

**Table 5: Blogging Features Usage**

Feature	Users
Read blog	43
Account	32
Write blog	15
Add video/audio	9
Post comments	8
Profile	3
Read comment	3
Links	1
Use template	0
Subscribe blog	0
Notify blog users	0

**Table 6: Gaming Features Usage**

Feature	Users
Play game	33
Account	29
Chat	2
Search	0
Forum	0
Play with money	0

**Table 7: Online Forums Features Usage**

Feature	Users
Read content	25
Account	13
Discussions	12
Upload multimedia	3
Post textual data	1
Share links	1
Post graphical data	0

**Table 8: Podcasting Features Usage**

Feature	Users
Listen	9
Account	1

Make podcast	1
Subscribe	0
Comment	0
Download	0
Links	0

### 3.6 Reasons for Trial, Adoption, and Usage

The interviews were coded to determine the various reasons that guided the trial, adoption, and usage of social media by individuals. The reasons were extracted for each technology type or technology for which the analysis was possible. Tables 9 through 11 show the reasons relevant for the trial, adoption, and usage.

**Table 9: Reasons for Trial**

Reason	Social Networking Users	Content Sharing Users	Blogging Users	Gaming Users	Online Forums Users	Podcasting Users
Ease of use	15	20	3	0	0	0
To stay in contact	11	0	1	0	0	0
Pressure from friends	10	1	2	0	0	0
Tried as he/she was nosey	7	0	2	1	0	0
No reason	5	1	0	2	0	0
Find friends easily	5	0	0	0	0	0
Drawbacks in older technology made him try	5	1	0	0	0	0
Content (communication)	5	0	0	1	0	0
Curiosity (people)	4	1	1	0	0	0
College focused	3	0	0	0	0	0
On seeing his friend using the technology	3	2	1	3	0	0
To view others pics	3	0	0	0	0	0
To look for old contacts	3	0	0	0	0	0
Assignment	3	1	0	1	1	0
Friends wanting to Stay in contact	2	0	0	0	0	0
Helping to set account	2	0	0	0	0	0
Demonstration by friend	2	16	0	0	0	1
To look for new friends	2	0	1	0	0	0
Curiosity (technology)	2	1	0	0	0	0
Content (entertainment)	2	5	0	0	1	0

Content (informative)	2	2	4	2	1	0
Kill boredom	2	0	0	1	0	0
Upload pics	1	0	0	0	0	0
Stay in contact with people	5	0	0	0	0	0
Features of technology	7	2	0	0	0	0
Setting private profile	1	0	0	0	0	0
Loads of people use it	1	0	0	0	0	0
Dating	1	0	1	0	0	0
Friends moved from one technology to other	1	0	0	0	0	0
To share pictures	1	0	1	0	0	0
Expressing opinions	1	1	3	0	2	0
Marketing tool	1	0	0	0	1	0
No reason	1	0	0	1	0	0
Friend posting the link	0	13	0	0	0	0
Correlate her situation	0	0	1	0	0	0
To solve other problem	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monetary benefits	0	1	0	0	0	0
To get people's opinion	0	0	1	0	0	0
Online source	0	6	0	1	0	1
Accidently	0	1	0	0	0	1

**Table 10: Reasons for Adoption**

Reason	Social Networking Users	Content Sharing Users	Blogging Users	Gaming Users	Online Forums Users	Podcasting Users
Technology features	13	8	0	1	0	2
To stay in touch with friends	8	0	0	0	0	0
Presence of friends	6	5	1	0	0	0
Found more friends	6	1	0	0	0	0
Dating	3	0	0	0	0	0
Addiction	3	0	0	0	0	0
College- focused	2	0	0	0	0	0
Ease of use	2	3	0	0	0	0
Kill boredom	2	0	0	0	0	0
Content (self-expression)	1	0	1	0	0	0
Catch people's attention	1	0	0	0	0	0
Novelty in technology	1	2	0	0	0	0
Stay connected to technology	0	0	0	0	1	0



Content (informative)	0	3	1	0	0	0
Content (entertainment)	0	3	1	0	0	0
Share content with friends	0	1	0	0	0	0
Fun	0	0	0	0	0	1

**Table 11: Reasons for Usage**

Reason	Social Networking Users	Content Sharing Users	Blogging Users	Gaming Users	Online Forums Users	Podcasting Users
Stay in contact with friends	20	0	0	0	0	0
Ease of use	6	2	0	0	0	0
Technology features	6	8	0	0	0	2
Other personal	4	0	1	2	0	0
College focused	2	0	0	0	0	0
Marketing tool	2	0	0	0	0	0
Kill boredom	2	1	0	1	0	0
Presence of friends	1	0	0	0	0	0
To be a part of gang	1	0	0	0	0	0
Events organization	3	0	0	0	0	0
Dating	1	0	0	0	0	0
Peer pressure	1	0	0	0	0	0
To meet new people	1	0	0	0	0	0
Habituated	1	0	0	0	0	0
Novelty in technology	0	1	0	1	0	0
To post pics	0	0	1	0	0	0
Content (entertainment)	0	5	0	1	1	1
Content (informative)	0	2	1	0	1	2

### 3.7 Factors Influencing Trial, Adoption, and Usage

The reasons for trial, adoption, and usage of social media were then aggregated through factor analysis resulting in factors influencing trial, adoption, and usage. The factors were developed by aggregating similar reasons into separate categories such that the resultant factors were

internally consistent but externally discriminant. Tables 12 through 14 contain the factors that influence trial, adoption, and usage of social media by individuals.

**Table 12: Factors Influencing Trial**

Factor	Social Networking Users	Content Sharing Users	Blogging Users	Gaming Users	Online Forums Users	Podcasting Users
Ease of use	15	20	3	0	0	0
Usefulness	31	6	9	1	4	0
Demonstration by friend	2	16	0	0	0	1
Content Discovery by self	9	7	4	3	2	0
Introduction by others	0	7	0	1	0	2
Observing others	32	16	0	2	0	0
Pressure	3	2	1	3	0	0
Personal interest	12	1	2	0	0	0
Relative advantage of technology	3	0	0	2	0	0
Exploration by self	5	1	0	0	0	0
	7	0	2	1	0	0

**Table 13: Factors Influencing Adoption**

Factor	Social Networking Users	Content Sharing Users	Blogging Users	Gaming Users	Online Forums Users	Podcasting Users
Ease of use	2	3	0	0	0	0
Usefulness	4	1	0	0	0	0
Technology	14	10	0	1	1	2
Personal interest	7	0	0	0	0	1
Friends	19	7	1	0	0	0
Technology content	1	5	2	0	0	0

**Table 14: Factors Influencing Usage**

Factor	Social Networking Users	Content Sharing Users	Blogging Users	Gaming Users	Online Forums Users	Podcasting Users
Ease of use	6	2	0	0	0	0
Usefulness	7	0	1	0	0	0
Friends	23	0	0	0	0	0
Content	0	6	1	1	2	2
Personal interest	9	1	1	3	0	0
Technology features	6	9	0	1	0	2

### 3.8 Cleaning Data Gathered Using Surveys

The data set contained certain problems that needed to be fixed prior to analysis. These included data transcription errors and improper codes for missing data. These were identified by first obtaining the low and high values of the eight variables. The Likert-scale anchors were used to determine if the low and high values of the variables were beyond the minimum and maximum possible thresholds. Low values of '0' pointed to data entry/transcription errors. High values exceeding the thresholds (e.g., values greater than 5 or 7 on the respective Likert scale for the eight variables) also pointed to data entry/transcription errors. By verifying the actual surveys completed by the respondents, it was determined that missing values had been replaced with '0's in the data sheet and certain values had been keyed in incorrectly (i.e., '6's for '5's).

### 3.9 Dispositions of Individuals

The survey instrument captured data on eight dispositions of the respondents: a) mavenism, b) susceptibility to interpersonal influence, c) social desirability bias, and five factors of the Neuroticism Extroversion Openness-Psychological Personality Inventory (NEO-PPI) scale: d) emotional stability, e) extraversion, f) openness to experience, g) agreeableness, and h) conscientiousness. The first three dispositions were measured using a 7-point Likert scale (1 to 7, where 1 represented "strongly disagree" and 7 represented "strongly agree") whereas the last five used a 5-point Likert scale (1 to 5, where 1 represented "strongly disagree" and 5 represented "strongly agree").

The descriptive statistics for the individual dispositions are shown in Table 15. Allowing for missing data, the respondents reported having low susceptibility to interpersonal influence (i.e., average less than 3.5, the mid-point of the scale) and high social desirability bias (i.e., average above 5 on a 7-point scale). In general, participants seemed to be emotionally stable, extraverted, open to experience, agreeable, and conscientious, based on the NEO-PPI measures, with the average of all items near or above 3.50.

**Table 15: Descriptive Statistics of Individual Dispositions**

	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Scale	Items	Alpha
Mavenism	80	4.69	1.37	1-7	6	0.91
Susceptibility to interpersonal influence	80	2.91	1.36	1-7	4	0.85
Social desirability bias	80	5.46	0.84	1-7	6	0.68
Emotional stability	80	3.67	0.94	1-5	12	0.82
Extraversion	71	3.77	0.52	1-5	12	0.71
Openness	69	3.42	0.60	1-5	12	0.70
Agreeableness	69	3.62	0.38	1-5	7	0.65
Conscientiousness	68	3.82	0.51	1-5	12	0.71

### 3.10 Reliability Analysis of Scales

The reliability statistics are based on Cronbach's alpha and are reported in Table 15. While most of the variables demonstrated good reliability (i.e., values were greater than 0.70, the generally recommended and accepted threshold), social desirability bias had a reliability of 0.68, which is slightly less than the recommended threshold. Agreeableness, on the other hand, had reliability of only 0.37 when all 12 items were included in the analysis. Reliability analysis, which involved successively dropping items, showed that the reliability can be improved to 0.65 if 5 items from the original set of 12 items were dropped. The items dropped from the analysis are 19, 24, 29, 54, and 59 (Appendix B, NEO-PPI items).

### 3.11 Dependent and Independent Variables

The dependent variables for the analysis were constructed using data gathered using the surveys and the interviews. Four dependent variables were constructed, as shown on Table 16, which represented different aspects of usage. These include general use measures (e.g., frequency of use) and intensity of use measures (e.g., proportion of features used). The independent variables for the analysis, as shown on Table 17, included the demographics, personality, and usage measures from the survey as well as the factors for trial, adoption, and usage of social media coded from interviews.

**Table 16: Descriptive Statistics (N, Mean, SD) of Dependent Variables**

<b>Dependent Variable</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Facebook</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>MySpace</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>YouTube</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Blogging</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Gaming</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Online Forums</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Podcasting</b>
Proportion of features used	64	0.4473 (0.1803)	53	.3536 (.1694)	61	0.3367 (.10028)	20	0.26 (0.12)	14	0.34 (0.08)	11	.2864 (.144)	6	.1883 (.118)
Time of use	33	27.80 (19.42)	17	26.00 (17.10)	23	19.26 (13.33)	8	21.375 (17.27)	2	60 (50.91)	1	72	0	0
Frequency of use	36	4.90 (8.065)	17	5.50 (9.73)	21	1.17 (1.12)	1	0.12	1	1.0	2	2.571 (3.43)	0	0
Duration of use	15	59.2 (43.34)	10	48.45 (19.39)	10	119 (185.46)	0	0	4	472.5 (446.57)	0	0	0	0

**Table 17: Descriptive Statistics (N, Mean, SD) of Independent Variables**

Independent variable	N	Facebook	N	MySpace	N	YouTube	N	Blogging	N	Gaming	N	Online Forums	N	Podcasts
Try: Exploration by self	64	.05 (.213)	53	0.08 (0.267)	61	.00	20	.10 (0.308)	14	.07 (.267)	11	.00	6	.00
Try: Pressure from friends	64	.11 (.315)	53	0.08 (0.267)	61	.02 (0.128)	20	.10 (0.308)	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Try: Observing others	64	.02 (.125)	53	0.04 (0.192)	61	.02 (.128)	20	.05 (0.224)	14	.21 (.426)	11	.00	6	.00
Try: Introduction by others	64	.33 (.473)	53	0.19 (0.395)	61	.25 (.434)	20	.00	14	.07 (0.267)	11	.00	6	.00
Try: Demonstration by others	64	.02 (0.125)	53	0.02 (0.137)	61	.26 (0.454)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.17 (0.408)
Try: Ease of use	64	.08 (0.27)	53	0.17 (0.379)	60	.28 (0.277)	20	.05 (0.224)	14	.00	10	.00	6	.00
Try: Content	64	.08 (0.27)	53	0.08 (0.267)	61	.08 (0.277)	20	.10 (0.308)	14	.14 (0.363)	11	.18 (0.405)	6	.00
Try: Usefulness	64	.27 (0.445)	53	0.25 (0.434)	61	.08 (0.277)	20	.25 (0.444)	14	.00	11	.27 (0.467)	6	.00
Try: Relative advantage of technology	64	.03 (0.175)	53	0.06 (0.233)	61	.02 (0.128)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Try: Discovery by self	64	.00	53	0	61	.08 (0.277)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.33 (0.516)

Try: Personal	64	.03 (0.175)	53	0.02 (0.137)	61	.00	20	.00	14	.14 (0.363)	11	.00	6	.00
Adopt: Technology features	64	.14 (0.35)	53	0.09 (0.295)	61	.16 (0.373)	20	.00	14	.07 (0.267)	11	.09 (0.302)	6	.33 (0.516)
Adopt: Content	64	.00	53	0.02 (0.137)	61	.08 (0.277)	20	.10 (0.308)	14	.00	11	.00	6	.17 (0.408)
Adopt: Friends	64	.19 (0.393)	53	0.11 (0.32)	61	.10 (0.3)	20	.05 (0.224)	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Adopt: Ease of use	64	.03 (0.175)	53	0	61	.05 (0.218)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Adopt: Personal	64	.05 (0.213)	53	0.08 (0.267)	61	.00	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Adopt: Usefulness	64	.06 (0.244)	53	0	61	.02 (.128)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Use: Friends	64	.19 (0.393)	53	0.19 (0.395)	61	.00	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Use: Content	64	.00	53	0	61	.10 (0.3)	20	.05 (0.224)	14	.07 (0.267)	11	.18 (0.405)	6	.33 (0.516)
Use: Technology features	64	.05 (0.213)	53	0.06 (0.233)	61	.15 (0.358)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.33 (0.516)
Use: Ease of use	64	.02 (0.125)	53	0.09 (0.295)	61	.02 (0.128)	20	.00	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Use: Personal	64	.13 (0.333)	53	0	61	.00	20	.05 (0.224)	14	.21 (0.426)	11	.00	6	.00
Use: Usefulness	64	.08 (0.27)	53	0.02 (0.137)	61	.00	20	.05 (0.224)	14	.00	11	.00	6	.00
Social desirability bias	64	5.5313	53	5.554	61	5.5575	20	5.2990	14	5.3686	11	5.2718	6	4.9733

		(0.854)		(0.8634)		(0.846)		(0.848)		(0.822)		(0.876)		(0.412)
Susceptibility to interpersonal influence	64	2.7852	53	2.6651	61	2.8238	20	3.6375	14	3.6429	11	2.2500	6	2.5417
		(1.38)		(1.25)		(1.395)		(1.586)		(1.11)		(1.322)		(1.20)
Mavenism	64	4.6564	53	4.6636	61	4.6039	20	5.0590	14	5.0829	11	4.8045	6	3.9167
		(1.404)		(1.33)		(1.453)		(1.22)		(0.762)		(1.46)		(1.494)
Emotional stability	64	3.6128	53	3.8262	61	3.6754	20	3.5100	14	3.5771	11	3.4027	6	4.5683
		(0.717)		(0.851)		(0.9994)		(1.031)		(0.945)		(.747)		(2.028)
Extraversion	58	3.7807	48	3.8215	53	3.7757	16	3.7350	13	3.7123	10	3.4090	4	3.7700
		(0.485)		(0.494)		(0.518)		(0.512)		(0.545)		(0.646)		(0.885)
Openness	56	3.3454	47	3.4451	52	3.4244	16	3.3181	12	3.3750	10	3.4410	3	3.8067
		(0.572)		(0.574)		(0.6533)		(0.533)		(0.579)		(0.588)		(0.767)
Agreeableness	56	3.6143	47	3.6566	52	3.6540	16	3.6088	12	3.6258	10	3.5660	3	3.9433
		(0.373)		(0.3935)		(0.388)		(0.319)		(0.396)		(0.424)		(.417)
Conscientiousness	55	3.8520	47	3.864	52	3.8356	16	3.7300	12	3.6608	10	3.8580	4	3.5825
		(0.5061)		(0.539)		(0.523)		(0.544)		(0.570)		(0.567)		(.247)
Gender	64	1.44	53	1.43	61	1.39	20	1.30	14	1.14	11	1.55	6	1.33
		(0.5)		(0.5)		(0.493)		(0.4701)		(0.363)		(.522)		(0.516)
Age	64	2.59	53	2.62	61	2.62	20	2.65	14	2.57	11	2.45	6	2.33
		(0.495)		(0.489)		(0.489)		(0.489)		(0.514)		(.522)		(0.516)
Caucasian	64	.20	53	0.25	61	.18	20	.20	14	.21	11	.27	6	.50
		(0.406)		(0.434)		(0.388)		(0.410)		(0.426)		(.467)		(.548)
North America	64	.63	53	0.74	61	.64	20	.35	14	.43	11	.64	6	.83
		(0.488)		(0.445)		(0.484)		(0.489)		(0.514)		(0.505)		(0.408)
Urban	64	.47	53	0.49	61	.46	20	.65	14	.50	11	.45	6	.50
		(0.503)		(0.505)		(0.502)		(0.489)		(0.519)		(0.522)		(0.548)
Less than 50000	64	.27	53	0.34	61	.30	20	.25	14	.21	11	.27	6	.33
		(0.445)		(0.478)		(0.46)		(0.444)		(0.426)		(0.467)		(0.516)



### **3.12 Correlations**

Tables 18 through 26 show the correlations for the three technologies (Facebook, MySpace, and YouTube) that had a sufficient number of participants (N = 63, 53, and 61, respectively) provide responses. The correlation tables show the effects between the appropriate dependent variables and the independent variables for trial, adoption, and usage uncovered from the interviews. Since only a handful of correlations were reported as being significant, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the true relationships between the dependent and independent variables. In addition, the sample sizes for specific technologies are somewhat low; hence, the study findings cannot be viewed with complete reliability. Further, as pointed out in Section 2.2., data had not been uniformly gathered from the respondents, which has resulted in missing values when computing correlations.

## **4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **4.1 Technology Used**

As seen from Table 2, respondents used two forms of social media more than others: social networking and content sharing. The two social networking technologies used primarily by students are Facebook and MySpace, and the content sharing technology used predominantly is YouTube. The other forms of technologies such as podcasting, blogging, online forums, and games were reported by only a limited number of respondents and hence meaningful conclusions cannot be drawn.

Based on the three technologies for which a reasonable amount of data is available, a few tentative conclusions can be drawn. First, individuals tried social networking technologies because their friends introduced the technology to them, they wanted to stay in touch with others, or they found it convenient to use. However, individuals tried content sharing technologies on their own because they had sought specific information or they sought to pass time by entertaining themselves. Second, individuals adopted social networking technologies due to the convenience, ease of use, and usefulness of those technologies, and also because of the user-generated content. But the adoption of content sharing technologies was due to the content. In both situations, the content of the technology, typically generated by the various users who are their connections or contacts, played an important role. Finally, individuals continued to use the social media technologies due to its usefulness and content.

Based on the problems with the interview processes noted in Section 2.2., it was difficult to determine the true nature of the relationships between the dependent and independent variables in the research. Based on a theoretical exposition of the adoption process in prior literature, the missing components in the present research and the interview process were identified, which resulted in the development of a new interview guide that may be used for future research (See Appendix C).

**Table 18: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Trial of Facebook**

	Ratio	Try: Exploration by Self	Try: Pressure from Friends	Try: Observing Others	Try: Introduction by Others	Try: Demonstration by Others	Try: Ease of Use	Try: Content	Try: Usefulness	Try: Relative Advantage of Technology	Try: Personal
Ratio											
Try: Exploration by self	.131										
Try: Pressure from friends	-.110	-.078									
Try: Observing others	.107	-.028	-.044								
Try: Introduction by others	-.010	-.155	-.245	-.088							
Try: Demonstration by others	.107	-.028	-.044	-.016	-.088						
Try: Ease of use	.121	.211	.271*	-.037	.045	-.037					
Try: Content	.138	-.065	-.102	-.037	-.203	-.037	-.085				
Try: Usefulness	-.001	-.133	-.211	-.076	-.345**	-.076	-.175	-.043			
Try: Relative advantage of technology	.053	-.040	-.063	-.023	-.126	-.023	-.052	-.052	-.108		
Try: Personal	.123	-.040	-.063	-.023	-0.1	-0	-0.1	-0.1	.095	-.032	

\*\* $p < .01$

**Table 19: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Trial of MySpace**

	Ratio	Try: Exploration by Self	Try: Pressure from friends	Try: Observing Others	Try: Introduction by Others	Try: Demonstration by Others	Try: Ease of Use	Try: Content	Try: Usefulness	Try: Relative Advantage of Technology	Try: Personal
Ratio											
Try: Exploration by self	-.147										
Try: Pressure from friends	.160	-.082									
Try: Observing others	.008	-.057	-.057								
Try: Introduction by others	-.030	-.138	-.138	-.095							
Try: Demonstration by others	.121	-.040	-.040	-.027	-.067						
Try: Ease of use	.203	-.129	.061	-.090	.039	-.063					
Try: Content	.160	-.082	-.082	-.057	-.138	-.040	.251				
Try: Usefulness	.199	-.163	-.163	-.113	-.163	-.079	-.024	.003			
Try: Relative advantage of technology	-.205	-.070	-.070	-.049	-.118	-.034	-.111	-.070	-.140		
Try: Personal	.005	-.040	-.040	-.027	-.067	-.019	.307*	-.040	-.079	-.034	

**Table 20: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Trial of YouTube**

	Ratio	Try: Pressure from Friends	Try: Observing Others	Try: Introduction by Others	Try: Demonstration by Others	Try: Ease of Use	Try: Content	Try: Usefulness	Try: Relative Advantage of Technology	Try: Discovery by Self
Ratio										
Try: Pressure from friends	.082									
Try: Observing others	-.177	-.017								
Try: Introduction by others	.172	-.074	-.074							
Try: Demonstration by others	-.168	-.077	-.077	-.341**						
Try: Ease of use	-.099	-.082	-.082	-.021	-.128					
Try: Content	.070	-.039	-.039	-.171	-.178	-.056				
Try: Usefulness	.010	-.039	-.039	-.171	-.178	.212	-.089			
Try: Relative advantage of technology	-.048	-.017	-.017	-.074	-.077	-.082	-.039	-.039		
Try: Discovery by self	-.050	-.039	-.039	-.171	-.178	.078	-.089	.129	-.039	

\*\*  $p < 0.01$

**Table 21: Correlations Between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Adoption of Facebook**

	Ratio	Time since Adoption (months)	Frequency of Use (times per day)	Duration (minutes)	Adopt: Technology Features	Adopt: Friends	Adopt: Ease of Use	Adopt: Personal	Adopt: Usefulness
Ratio									
Time since adoption (months)	-.294								
Frequency of use (times per day)	.122	.181							
Duration (minutes)	.048	-.381	-.322						
Adopt: Technology features	.009	-.075	-.091	.444					
Adopt: Friends	.099	-.083	.160	.005	-.079				
Adopt: Ease of use	.053	-.031	.002	----	-.073	-.086			
Adopt: Personal	-.030	-.045	.002	----	-.090	-.107	-.040		
Adopt: Usefulness	.170	-.045	.049	-.314	-.104	-.124	-.046	-.057	

----: At least one variable is constant due to missing data

**Table 22: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Adoption of MySpace**

	Ratio	Time Since Adoption (months)	Frequency of Use (times per day)	Adopt: Technology Features	Adopt: Content	Adopt: Friends	Adopt: Personal
Ratio							
Time since adoption (months)	.456						
Frequency of use (times per day)	.413	.497					
Duration (minutes)	.382	-.817*	-.558				
Adopt: Technology features	-.130	-.316	-.184				
Adopt: Content	.063	----	----	-.045			
Adopt: Friends	.266	-.110	.272	-.115	-.050		
Adopt: Personal	.071	----	-.119	-.092	-.040	-.102	

\* $p < 0.05$ ; ----: At least one variable is constant due to missing data

**Table 23: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Adoption of YouTube**

	Ratio	Time Since Adoption (months)	Frequency of Use (times per day)	Duration (minutes)	Adopt: Technology Features	Adopt: Content	Adopt: Friends	Adopt: Ease of Use	Adopt: Usefulness
Ratio									
Time since adoption (months)	-.128								
Frequency of use (times per day)	-.095	-.273							
Duration (minutes)	-.380	-.508	.620						
Adopt: Technology features	-.146	-.097	.371	.450					
Adopt: Content	.010	-.156	.246	----	-.132				
Adopt: Friends	-.122	-.216	-.136	.422	.151	-.099			
Adopt: Ease of use	-.008	----	.578**	----	-.101	-.068	-.075		
Adopt: Usefulness	.082	----	----	----	-.057	-.039	-.043	-.029	

\*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; ---: At least one variable is constant due to missing data



**Table 24: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Usage of Facebook**

	Ratio	Time Since Adoption (months)	Frequency of Use (times per day)	Duration (minutes)	Use: Friends	Use: Technology Features	Use: Ease of use	Use: Personal	Use: Usefulness
Ratio									
Time since adoption (months)	-.294								
Frequency of use (times per day)	.122	.181							
Duration (minutes)	.048	-.381	-.322						
Use: Friends	.278*	-.066	.170	----					
Use: Technology features	.024	.696**	.055	.005	-.107				
Use: Ease of use	.016	----	----	----	.262*	-.028			
Use: Personal	.254*	-.075	-.082	.049	-.182	-.084	-.048		
Use: Usefulness	.099	-.056	-.001	-.365	.158	-.065	-.037	-.110	

\*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ ; ----: At least one variable is constant due to missing data

**Table 25: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Usage of MySpace**

	Ratio	Time Since Adoption (months)	Frequency of Use (times per day)	Duration (minutes)	Use: Friends	Use: Technology Features	Use: Ease of Use	Use: Usefulness
Ratio								
Time since adoption (months)	.456							
Frequency of use (times per day)	.413	.497						
Duration (minutes)	.382	-.817*	-.558					
Use: Friends	.280*	.391	-.007	-.196				
Use: Technology features	.175	.088	-.066	.073	.299*			
Use: Ease of use	.035	.748**	.302	-.870**	.174	-.079		
Use: Usefulness	.063	----	----	----	-.067	-.034	-.045	

\*\* $p < 0.05$ ; \* $p < 0.10$ ; ----: At least one variable is constant due to missing data

**Table 26: Correlations between Dependent Variables and Independent Factors for Usage of YouTube**

	Ratio	Time Since Adoption (months)	Frequency of Use (times per day)	Duration (minutes)	Use: Content	Use: Technology Features	Use: Ease of Use
Ratio							
Time since adoption (months)	-.128						
Frequency of use (times per day)	-.095	-.273					
Duration (minutes)	-.380	-.508	.620				
Use: Content	-.011	----	-.051	-.112			
Use: Technology features	.125	-.051	-.029	.324	-.137		
Use: Ease of use	.212	.274	-.166	-.192	-.043	-.054	

----: At least one variable is constant due to missing data

## **4.2 New Data Collection from 65 Individuals**

The new interview guide (see Appendix C) was developed to address some of the problems identified in the study (see Section 2) and was pilot-tested through a new data collection effort at Wright State University. This data collection campaign lasted about a month and gathered information from 65 Wright State University students, who were between the ages of 18 and 25, who had recently started using either the social networking or content sharing technology. The recruitment of potential participants for the study was done using a screener survey instrument in which respondents reported on their current use of social networking or content sharing technologies.

Data collection was accomplished through 60-minute, face-to-face meetings at the Wright State University campus. In each session, respondents provided both quantitative and qualitative data on the trial, adoption, and use of social networking and content sharing technologies. This was done by first asking the respondents to provide free-form answers to a general question about the use of technology, and then asking the respondents to rate their perceptions on a 7-point Likert scale, where 1 represented “very low” and 7 represented “very high” in general (except for measures of technology use). Preliminary results showed that the new interview guide was able to gather data on usage of the technologies at various stages of the adoption process. The new interview guide also enabled the gathering of quantitative data (through the Likert-scale measures), which can be corroborated through the qualitative data.

## **4.3. New Survey Instrument for Longitudinal Data Collection**

Together with a literature review and analysis of prior research on adoption, the findings of the study revealed some areas for future investigation. Although the research examined multiple stages of the adoption process including trial, adoption, and usage, data were gathered at a single point in time based on retrospective accounts of the respondents. However, this method of data collection is fraught with issues such as recall bias and social desirability bias. Recall bias is a problem since respondents may not be able to correctly separate their own behaviors related to trial, adoption, and use over time. Social desirability bias is a problem because respondents may describe their own behaviors to be consistent with the trial, adoption, and use phases employed in the research. Consequently, the data may not be completely accurate or reliable. Moreover, the qualitative data obtained from respondents was not particularly well-suited for quantitative analysis. For instance, the dependent variable was largely constant since data gathered for this research largely answered questions of the form: “Did you use the technology after the trial period?” or “Did you continue to use the technology?” and all respondents had used the technology after trial and continued to use the technology (since the selection of respondents for the research was predicated on them being heavy users of the technology). The data gathered on independent variables for this research typically answered questions of the form: “Did you find the technology easy to use?” as opposed to: “What is the extent to which you found the technology easy to use?” These combined with other issues outlined in Section 2 essentially resulted in a data set that was acceptable for basic analysis involving descriptive statistics but not ideal for advanced analysis dealing with inferential statistics. Consequently, the findings of this research may not be generalizable to other populations or contexts.

The abovementioned problems can be overcome using a longitudinal design that focuses on quantitative data (with some qualitative data if needed) through surveys, and asks participants to report their trial, adoption, and use of a completely new technology (or a technology they began using very recently). The survey instrument for this design is shown in Appendix D.

#### **4.4 Other Contributions**

The research explained in Sections 2 and 3 above also made the following contributions to the educational process at the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT): 1) the data gathered from surveys and interviews in Section 2 were used as the basis for the “independent study” project for two students and 2) the data gathered from the surveys and interviews in Section 3 were used in the Master’s theses of two students.

## 5.0 REFERENCES

- Agarwal, R. and Prasad, J., 1998, "A Conceptual and Operational Definition of Personal Innovativeness in the Domain of Information Technology," *Information Systems Research*, 9(2), 204-215.
- Bearden, W.O., Netemeyer, R.G., and Teel, J.E., Jr., 1989, "Measurement of Consumer Susceptibility to Interpersonal Influence," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15(4), 473-481.
- Compeau, D.R. and Higgins, C.A., 1995, "Computer Self-Efficacy: Development of a Measure and Initial Test," *MIS Quarterly*, 19(2), 189-211.
- Crowne, D.P. and Marlowe, D., 1960, "A New Scale of Social Desirability Independent of Psychopathology," *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 24(), 349-354.
- DeLone, W.H. and McLean, E.R., 2003, "The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update," *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4), 9-30.
- Feick, L.F. and Price, L.L., 1987, "The Market Maven: A Diffuser of Marketplace Information," *Journal of Marketing*, 51(1), 83-97.
- Ferris, G.R., Treadway, D.C., Kolodinsky, R.W., Hochwarter, W.A., Kacmar, C.J., Douglas, C., and Frink, D.D., 2005, "Development and Validation of the Political Skill Inventory," *Journal of Management*, 31, 126-152.
- McCrae, R.R. and Costa, P.T., 2004, "A contemplated revision of the NEO Five-Factor Inventory," *Personality and Individual Differences*, 36(3), 587-596.
- Moore, G.C. and Benbasat, I., 1991, "Development of an Instrument to Measure the Perceptions of Adopting an Information Technology Innovation," *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 192-222.
- Nielsen Middle East, personal discussion with researchers, 2011.
- Raskin, R. and Terry, H., 1988, "A Principle-Components Analysis of the Narcissistic: Personality Inventory and Further Evidence of its Construct Validity," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 890-902.
- Schmidt, N. and Sermat, V., 1983, "Measuring Loneliness in Different Relationships," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44, 1038-1047.

Van Slyke, C., Ilie, V., Lou, H., and Stafford, T., 2007, "Perceived Critical Mass and the Adoption of a Communication Technology," *European Journal of information Systems*, 16, 270-283.

Venkatesh, V. and Bala, H., 2008, "Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a Research Agenda on Interventions," *Decision Sciences*, 39(2), 273-315.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B., and Davis, F.D., 2003, "User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View," *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425-478.

Webster, J. and Martocchio, J.J., 1992, "Microcomputer Playfulness: Development of a Measure with Workplace Implications," *MIS Quarterly*, 16(2), 201-226.

## APPENDIX A - Coding Sheet

### Respondent

ID#: \_\_\_\_\_ Gender: \_\_\_\_\_ Age: \_\_\_\_\_ Location: \_\_\_\_\_ Race: \_\_\_\_\_

### Technology

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Type (Blog/Games/Social networking/etc.): \_\_\_\_\_ Cost: \_\_\_\_\_

Features used:

---

### Adoption by Respondent

Time since adoption: \_\_\_\_\_ Frequency of use: \_\_\_\_\_ Time of use: \_\_\_\_\_

Awareness via mass media: \_\_\_\_\_ Awareness via persons: \_\_\_\_\_

Action as a consumer: \_\_\_\_\_ Action as a provider: \_\_\_\_\_

Attitude: \_\_\_\_\_ Likeness: \_\_\_\_\_

Reasons for TRY:

---

---

---

Reasons for NOT try/adopt/continued use:

---

---

Reasons for ADOPT:

---

---

---

Purpose of using technology (info, entertainment):

---

---

Reasons for CONTINUED USE:

---

---

---

Impact of technology:

---

---

---

### Influence of Others by Respondent

Who was influenced (friend/relative/coworker/etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

Reasons for the influence: \_\_\_\_\_



## APPENDIX B — Survey Instrument

### Mavenism (Feick & Price, 1987)

- 1 I like to introduce new brands, products or services to my friends in technology product categories.
- 2 I like to help people by providing them with information about technology products.
- 3 People often ask me for information to get the best buy, places to shop, or sales on technology products.
- 4 If someone asked me where to get the best buy on technology products, I could tell the person where to shop.
- 5 My friends think of me as a good source of information for new technology products.
- 6 Think about a person who has information about a variety of products and likes to share this information with others. This person knows about new products, sales, stores, and so on but does not necessarily feel he or she is an expert on any one particular product . How strongly would you agree that this description fits you?

### Susceptibility to interpersonal influence (Bearden et al. 1989)

- 1 It is important that others like the products and brands that I buy.
- 2 I rarely purchase the latest fashion trends until I know that my friends approve of them.
- 3 I often identify with other people by purchasing the same products and brands they purchase.
- 4 When buying products, I generally purchase those brands that I think others will approve.

### Social desirability bias (Crowne & Marlowe 1960)

- 1 I am always willing to admit when I've made a mistake.
- 2 I always try to practice what I preach.
- 3 I never resent being asked to return a favor.
- 4 I have never been bothered when people expressed ideas that were different from my own.
- 5 No matter who I'm talking too, I'm always a good listener.
- 6 I never hesitate to go out of my way to help someone in trouble.

### NEO-PPI (McCrae & Costa, 2004)

- 1 I am not a worrier
- 2 I like to have a lot of people around me
- 3 I don't like to waste my time daydreaming
- 4 I try to be courteous to everyone I meet
- 5 I keep my belongings clean and neat
- 6 I often feel inferior to others

7 I laugh easily  
8 Once I find the right way to do something, I stick to it  
9 I often get into arguments with my family and coworkers  
10 I'm pretty good about pacing myself so as to get things done on time  
11 When I'm under a great deal of stress, sometimes I feel like I'm going to pieces  
12 I don't consider myself especially "light-hearted"  
13 I am intrigued by the patterns I find in art and nature  
14 Some people think I'm selfish and egotistical  
15 I am not a very methodical person  
16 I rarely feel lonely and blue  
17 I really enjoy talking to people  
18 I believe letting students hear controversial speakers can only confuse and mislead them  
19 I would rather cooperate with others than compete with them  
20 I try to perform all the tasks assigned to me conscientiously  
21 I often feel tense and jittery  
22 I like to be where the action is  
23 Poetry has little or no effect on me  
24 I tend to be cynical and skeptical of others' intentions  
25 I have a clear set of goals and work toward them in an orderly fashion  
26 Sometimes I feel completely worthless  
27 I usually prefer to do things alone  
28 I often try new and foreign foods  
29 I believe that most people will take advantage of you if you let them  
30 I waste a lot of time before settling down to work  
31 I rarely feel fearful or anxious  
32 I often feel as if I'm bursting with energy  
33 I seldom notice the moods or feelings that different environments produce  
34 Most people I know like me  
35 I work hard to accomplish my goals  
36 I often get angry at the way people treat me  
37 I am a cheerful, high-spirited person  
38 I believe we should look to our religious authorities for decisions on moral issues  
39 Some people think of me as cold and calculating  
40 When I make a commitment, I can always be counted on to follow through  
41 Too often, when things go wrong, I get discouraged and feel like giving up  
42 I am not a cheerful optimist  
43 Sometimes when I am reading poetry or looking at a work of art, I feel a chill or wave of excitement  
44 I'm hard-headed and tough-minded in my attitudes

45 Sometimes I'm not as dependable or reliable as I should be  
46 I am seldom sad or depressed  
47 My life is fast-paced  
48 I have little interest in speculating on the nature of the universe or the human condition  
49 I generally try to be thoughtful and considerate  
50 I am a productive person who always gets the job done  
51 I often feel helpless and want someone else to solve my problems  
52 I am a very active person  
53 I have a lot of intellectual curiosity  
54 If I don't like people, I let them know it  
55 I never seem to be able to get organized  
56 At times I have been so ashamed I just wanted to hide  
57 I would rather go my own way than be a leader of others  
58 I often enjoy playing with theories or abstract ideas  
59 If necessary, I am willing to manipulate people to get what I want  
60 I strive for excellence in everything I do

## APPENDIX C — New Interview Guide

Initially, allow respondent to pick ONE specific technology (e.g., Facebook, YouTube) that falls into any one of the following categories of technologies: blogs, social networking sites, personal web sites, video sharing sites, podcasts, and viral games. Then, ask the following questions with reference to that ONE technology. [Once all questions below are asked and answered, go back to the top and begin the same process for another technology.]

For each question below, allow respondent to first rate the answer on a 7-point scale and then provide a descriptive answer as to the rating.

Technology—

How long (e.g., number of months or years) have you been using the [Technology]?	< 1 week	1 month	> 1 year
	1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7		

How often (e.g., once a day, once a week) do you use the [Technology]?	Once a Month	Once a day	Several times day
	1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7		

How much time (e.g., 5 min, 30 min) do you spend with the [Technology] when you use it?	About 5 minutes	About 30 minutes	More than 1 hour
	1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7		

Awareness—

How did you know about the [Technology]?

>> Did you find it yourself or did someone introduce it to you?

>> If YOURSELF: What is the mechanism (e.g., search, ad) by which you found out?

>> If SOMEONE: Who was it? What did he/she say/do when introducing you to it?

Trial—

When did you first “try” the [Technology] for yourself?

What was your motivation to try the [Technology]?

>> How would you rate experimentation as the reason to try the [Technology]?	Very Low	Very High
	1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7	

>> How would you rate ease of use as the	Very Low	Very High
--	----------	-----------

reason to try the [Technology]? 1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate peer influence as the reason to try the [Technology]? Very Low Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

Did someone talk to you at this time? Did someone help you get started?

How many features in social networking have you tried? Very Few Very Many  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

What features (e.g., setup account, send messages) of the [Technology] did you try at this time?

Adoption—  
When did you first decide to “adopt” the [Technology] for yourself?

What was your motivation to adopt the [Technology]?

>> How would you rate ease of use as the reason to try the [Technology]? Very Low Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate peer influence as the reason to try the [Technology]? Very Low Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate technology features as the reason to try the [Technology]? Very Low Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate technology content as the reason to try the [Technology]? Very Low Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

Did someone talk to you at this time? Did someone help you get started?

What features (e.g., setup account, send messages) of the [Technology] did you use this time?

Continued Use—  
When did you first decide to “continue using” the [Technology] for yourself?

What was your motivation to continue use of the [Technology]?

>> How would you rate ease of use as the reason to try the [Technology]?

Very Low                      Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate peer influence as the reason to try the [Technology]?

Very Low                      Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate technology features as the reason to try the [Technology]?

Very Low                      Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

>> How would you rate technology content as the reason to try the [Technology]?

Very Low                      Very High  
1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7

Did someone talk to you at this time? Did someone help you get started?

What features (e.g., setup account, send messages) of the [Technology] did you use this time?

## APPENDIX D — Survey Instrument For Longitudinal Study

Note: The following items as well as items on Sections 1 through 6 have been newly developed for the research.

Participant ID: \_\_\_\_\_  
one): T1 / T2 / T3

Survey Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Time (circle

Technology: \_\_\_\_\_

Type: \_\_\_\_\_ Social networking \_\_\_\_\_ Content sharing \_\_\_\_\_ Blogging

Read all options below and choose ONE option that best describes your current status with the technology you chose above:



\_\_\_\_\_ I am aware of the technology (and plan to use it soon) CONTINUE TO SECTION 1



\_\_\_\_\_ I am experimenting with the technology (but have not decided to adopt it for my use yet) CONTINUE TO SECTION 2



\_\_\_\_\_ I made the decision to adopt the technology for my own use (but not using it regularly yet) CONTINUE TO SECTION 3



\_\_\_\_\_ I am using the technology regularly (after making the decision to adopt the technology for my use) CONTINUE TO SECTION 4



\_\_\_\_\_ I discontinued using the technology and no longer use it CONTINUE TO SECTION 5

## Section 1

When was the *first* time you became aware of the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

How did you become aware of the technology for the *first* time:

\_\_\_\_\_



CONTINUE TO SECTION 8

## Section 2

When was the *first* time you became aware of the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

How did you become aware of the technology for the *first* time:

\_\_\_\_\_

When was the *first* time you began experimenting with the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you are experimenting with the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_





CONTINUE TO SECTION 6 (TRIAL)

### Section 3

When was the *first* time you became aware of the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

How did you become aware of the technology for the *first* time:

\_\_\_\_\_

Did you experiment with the technology prior to adoption?

\_\_\_ No      \_\_\_ Yes



When was the *first* time you began experimenting with the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you experimented with the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

When was the *first* time you made the decision to adopt the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you made the decision to adopt the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



CONTINUE TO SECTION 6 (ADOPTION)

#### Section 4

When was the *first* time you became aware of the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

How did you become aware of the technology for the *first* time:

\_\_\_\_\_

Did you experiment with the technology prior to adoption?

\_\_\_ No      \_\_\_ Yes



When was the *first* time you began experimenting with the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you experimented with the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Did you make the decision to adopt the technology for your use?

\_\_\_ No      \_\_\_ Yes



When was the *first* time you made the decision to adopt the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you made the decision to adopt the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

List the top THREE reasons why you are regularly using the technology.

---

---

---



CONTINUE TO SECTION 6 (USE)

## Section 5

When was the *first* time you became aware of the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

How did you become aware of the technology for the *first* time:

---

Did you experiment with the technology prior to adoption?

\_\_\_ No      \_\_\_ Yes



When was the *first* time you began experimenting with the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you experimented with the technology.

---

---

\_\_\_\_\_

Did you make the decision to adopt the technology for your use?

\_\_\_ No      \_\_\_ Yes

When was the *first* time you made the decision to adopt the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you made the decision to adopt the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Did you regularly use the technology?

\_\_\_ No      \_\_\_ Yes



When was the *first* time you started to regularly use the technology?

\_\_\_ (days/ weeks/ months ago)

List the top THREE reasons why you regularly used the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

List the top THREE reasons why you discontinued using the technology.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



CONTINUE TO SECTION 6 (USE)

### Section 6 (USE stage)

Answer questions below based on what you did after you started to regularly use the technology.

How often did you use the technology: \_\_\_\_\_ times per \_\_\_\_\_ (day/ week/ month)

How much time did you spend with the technology each time you used it: \_\_\_\_\_ (minutes/ hours)

Check all features of the technology you used:

SOCIAL NETWORKING

\_\_\_ Setup account  
photos/videos

\_\_\_ Tag/untag pictures

\_\_\_ Upload

\_\_\_ Play games

\_\_\_ Receive notifications

\_\_\_ Post comment

\_\_\_ Send personal note  
applications

\_\_\_ Chat with others

\_\_\_ Use

\_\_\_ Setup profile

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

\_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts (friends) do you have on this account? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts have you invited to join your personal network?

\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many others have invited you to join their personal network?

\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

CONTENT SHARING

\_\_\_ Setup account  
comment

\_\_\_ View photos/videos

\_\_\_ Read

\_\_\_ Rate photos/videos  
content

\_\_\_ Post comment

\_\_\_ Subscribe

\_\_\_ Upload photos/videos

\_\_\_ Flag comment

\_\_\_ Search photos/videos

\_\_\_ Setup profile

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

Approximately, how many items (photos/videos) have you uploaded? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts (friends) have access to items you uploaded?  
\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many items (photos/videos) by others have you viewed? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

BLOGGING

___ Setup account	___ Write blog entry	___ Use templates
___ Read blog entry	___ Subscribe to blog	___ Read comment
___ Add audio/video	___ Post comment	___ Notify blog users
___ Setup profile	Other _____	Other _____

Approximately, how many blog entries have you created? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many followers (people) do you have for your blogs? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many blogs by others have you visited? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

For the technology you chose, quantify the types of content you most commonly used.

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to personal life (family, friends, etc.) and activities

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to health, dieting, and physical fitness

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to education (school, college, and university)

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to news, world news, and current events

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to products (technology, books, etc.) and services (travel, food, etc.)

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to entertainment (movies, music groups, and television shows)

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

=====

100 %

How did you access the technology: \_\_\_\_\_ Computer \_\_\_\_\_ Mobile \_\_\_\_\_ TV \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_





CONTINUE TO SECTION 6 (ADOPTION) OR SECTION 7

Section 6 (ADOPTION stage)

Answer questions below based on what you did after you made the decision to adopt the technology.

How often did you use the technology: \_\_\_\_\_ times per \_\_\_\_\_ (day/ week/ month)

How much time did you spend with the technology each time you used it: \_\_\_\_\_ (minutes/ hours)

Check all features of the technology you used:

- |   |                            |                   |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|
| ____ Setup account<br>photos/videos     | ____ Tag/untag pictures    | ____ Upload       |
| ____ Play games                         | ____ Receive notifications | ____ Post comment |
| ____ Send personal note<br>applications | ____ Chat with others      | ____ Use          |
| ____ Setup profile<br>_____             | Other _____                | Other             |
| Other _____<br>_____                    | Other _____                | Other             |

SOCIAL NETWORKING

Approximately, how many contacts (friends) do you have on this account? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts have you invited to join your personal network?  
\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many others have invited you to join their personal network?  
\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

CONTENT SHARING

\_\_\_ Setup account  
comment

\_\_\_ View photos/videos

\_\_\_ Read

\_\_\_ Rate photos/videos  
content

\_\_\_ Post comment

\_\_\_ Subscribe

\_\_\_ Upload photos/videos

\_\_\_ Flag comment

\_\_\_ Search photos/videos

\_\_\_ Setup profile

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

Approximately, how many items (photos/videos) have you uploaded? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts (friends) have access to items you uploaded?  
\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many items (photos/videos) by others have you viewed? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

BLOGGING

___ Setup account	___ Write blog entry	___ Use templates
___ Read blog entry	___ Subscribe to blog	___ Read comment
___ Add audio/video	___ Post comment	___ Notify blog users
___ Setup profile	Other _____	Other _____

Approximately, how many blog entries have you created? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many followers (people) do you have for your blogs? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many blogs by others have you visited? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

For the technology you chose, quantify the types of content you most commonly used.

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to personal life (family, friends, etc.) and activities

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to health, dieting, and physical fitness

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to education (school, college, and university)

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to news, world news, and current events

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to products (technology, books, etc.) and services (travel, food, etc.)

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to entertainment (movies, music groups, and television shows)

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

=====

100 %

How did you access the technology: \_\_\_ Computer \_\_\_ Mobile \_\_\_ TV \_\_\_ Other

\_\_\_\_\_



CONTINUE TO SECTION 6 (TRIAL) OR SECTION 7

## Section 6 (TRIAL stage)

Answer questions below based on what you did after you started experimenting with the technology.

How often did you use the technology: \_\_\_\_\_ times per \_\_\_\_\_ (day/ week/ month)

How much time did you spend with the technology each time you used it: \_\_\_\_\_ (minutes/ hours)

Check all features of the technology you used:

\_\_\_ Setup account

\_\_\_ Tag/untag pictures

\_\_\_ Upload

photos/videos

\_\_\_ Play games

\_\_\_ Receive notifications

\_\_\_ Post comment

\_\_\_ Send personal note

\_\_\_ Chat with others

\_\_\_ Use

applications

\_\_\_ Setup profile

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

\_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts (friends) do you have on this account? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts have you invited to join your personal network?

\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many others have invited you to join their personal network?

\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

SOCIAL NETWORKING

CONTENT SHARING

\_\_\_ Setup account  
comment

\_\_\_ View photos/videos

\_\_\_ Read

\_\_\_ Rate photos/videos  
content

\_\_\_ Post comment

\_\_\_ Subscribe

\_\_\_ Upload photos/videos

\_\_\_ Flag comment

\_\_\_ Search photos/videos

\_\_\_ Setup profile

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Other

Approximately, how many items (photos/videos) have you uploaded? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many contacts (friends) have access to items you uploaded?  
\_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many items (photos/videos) by others have you viewed? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

BLOGGING

☐ Setup account      ☐ Write blog entry      ☐ Use templates  
☐ Read blog entry      ☐ Subscribe to blog      ☐ Read comment  
☐ Add audio/video      ☐ Post comment      ☐ Notify blog users  
☐ Setup profile      Other \_\_\_\_\_      Other \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately, how many blog entries have you created? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Approximately, how many followers (people) do you have for your blogs? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Approximately, how many comments by others have you read? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Approximately, how many blogs by others have you visited? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Approximately, how many comments have you posted for others to read? \_\_\_\_\_

For the technology you chose, quantify the types of content you most commonly used.

\_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to personal life (family, friends, etc.) and activities  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to health, dieting, and physical fitness  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to education (school, college, and university)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to news, world news, and current events  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to products (technology, books, etc.) and services (travel, food, etc.)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to entertainment (movies, music groups, and television shows)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ % -- Content related to \_\_\_\_\_ (specify)

=====  
 100 %

How did you access the technology: \_\_\_\_\_ Computer      \_\_\_\_\_ Mobile      \_\_\_\_\_ TV      Other \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



CONTINUE TO SECTION 7

## Section 7

Rate each item below as 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neutral), 4 (agree), to 5 (strongly agree) with regard to the technology:

Note: The following items have been newly developed for the research.

- \_\_\_\_\_ I have reconsidered my thoughts based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have changed my thoughts based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have reconsidered my opinions based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have changed my opinions based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have reconsidered my beliefs based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have changed my beliefs based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have reconsidered my attitudes based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have changed my attitudes based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have reconsidered my behaviors based on content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have changed my behaviors based on content posted by others
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to obtain information I need
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to share my opinions with the world
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to communicate with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to view content posted by others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology for no reason at all, just for fun or to pass the time
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology since others I know use it as well
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to meet others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to collaborate with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology since it provides a lot of neat features
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to identify with others who have similar interests
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to express my thoughts with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology for entertaining myself
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to share my content with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to stay in touch with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use the technology to read comments posted by others

Note: The following items are based on Venkatesh & Bala 2008, DeLone & McLean 2003.

- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is easy to use
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology does not require a lot of mental effort
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is clear and understandable

- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is simple to use
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is useful for my tasks
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology enables me to accomplish tasks quickly
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology helps me to be effective and efficient
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology increases my productivity
- \_\_\_\_\_ I find using the technology is pleasant
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have fun using the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am excited using the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I find using the technology is enjoyable
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology is fun
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology is a good idea
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology is enjoyable
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology is interesting
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is reliable
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is intuitive to use
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is fast
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is friendly
- \_\_\_\_\_ The information content was accurate
- \_\_\_\_\_ The information content was exactly what I was looking for
- \_\_\_\_\_ The information content was of high quality
- \_\_\_\_\_ The information content was highly relevant to my needs
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am satisfied with the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am satisfied with the content provided by the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am satisfied with the features of the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am satisfied with my interactions with the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I intend to continue using the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I intend to spend more time with the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I intend to try new features of the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I intend to use the technology more frequently
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology has a clear design
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology provides easy navigation
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology interface is intuitive
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is easily understandable
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not access other applications when I am using the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I think it is best to use one technology at a time rather than several applications



- \_\_\_\_\_ I prefer to work with nothing else when I am using the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I usually make use of the technology without concurrently using other applications

## Section 8

Rate each item below as 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neutral), 4 (agree), to 5 (strongly agree) with regard to yourself:

Note: The following items are based on Compeau & Higgins, 1995; Agarwal & Prasad, 1998; Webster & Martocchio, 1992; Schmidt & Sermat, 1983; Raskin & Terry, 1988; Ferris et al., 2005; Moore & Benbasat, 1991; Venkatesh et al., 2003; Van Slyke et al., 2007.

- \_\_\_\_\_ People who are important to me think I should use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ People who are strongly connected to me think I should use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ People who hang out with me think I should use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ People who influence my behavior think I should use the technology
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I possess the knowledge and ability to use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am experienced in using different technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I can use the technology even when no one is around to help me
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel confident about using new technologies
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not hesitate to try new technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am usually the first among my peers to try new technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I like to experiment with new technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am willing to try new technologies
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am creative in interacting with new technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am spontaneous in interacting with new technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am playful in interacting with new technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am inventive in interacting with new technologies
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel I am a person of worth
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel I have a number of good qualities
- \_\_\_\_\_ I think I am no good at all
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am able to do things as well as a number of other people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I take a positive attitude toward myself
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am satisfied with myself
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not get along very well with my family
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel close to members of my family
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not have many friends in the city where I live
- \_\_\_\_\_ I get plenty of help and support from my friends

- \_\_\_\_\_ I really do not have much in common with the larger community in which I live
- \_\_\_\_\_ I know people in my community who understand and share my views and beliefs
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am a good leader
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am a success
- \_\_\_\_\_ I rarely depend on anyone else to get things done
- \_\_\_\_\_ I like to take responsibility for making decisions
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am an extraordinary person
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am modest
- \_\_\_\_\_ I like to be complimented
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am apt to show off if I get the chance
- \_\_\_\_\_ I can read people like a book
- \_\_\_\_\_ I can make anybody believe anything I want them to
- \_\_\_\_\_ I will never be satisfied until I get all that I deserve
- \_\_\_\_\_ I expect a great deal from other people
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I spend a lot of time and effort networking with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am able to make most people feel comfortable and at ease around me
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am able to communicate easily and effectively with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is easy for me to develop good rapport with most people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I understand people very well
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am good at building relationships with influential people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am particularly good at sensing the motivations and hidden agendas of others
- \_\_\_\_\_ When communicating with others, I try to be genuine in what I say and do
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have developed a large network of people who I can call on for support
- \_\_\_\_\_ I know a lot of important people and am well connected
- \_\_\_\_\_ I spend a lot of time developing connections with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am good at getting people to like me
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is important that people believe I am sincere in what I say and do
- \_\_\_\_\_ I try to show a genuine interest in other people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am good at using my connections and network to make things happen
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have good intuition and am savvy about how to present myself to others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I always seem to instinctively know the right things to say or do to influence others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I pay close attention to people's facial expressions
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am worried about wasting time
- \_\_\_\_\_ I value efficiency highly
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am a very busy person
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am always in a hurry
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am curious about the lives of other people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am interested in knowing the happenings of the world
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am curious to find what others view things I like
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am interested in knowing how others view everyday activities

- \_\_\_\_\_ Users of the technology have a strong feeling of 'one group'
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology would enhance my chance to meet others who have common interests
- \_\_\_\_\_ Users of the technology keep close ties with each other
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology would allow me to share social lives and communication with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology would enhance my image
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology would enhance my importance
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology would enhance my reputation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Using the technology would enhance my status
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am concerned that my information could be misused
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am concerned that someone can find private information about me
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am concerned that my information could be used in ways I did not imagine
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am concerned about what others might do with my information
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have observed others using the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have lots of opportunities to see the technology in use
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have seen demos of the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have had several others show me the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ Many of my friends use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ Many of my family members and relatives use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ Many of my regular contacts use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ Many people in my neighborhood seem to use the technology
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology fits my lifestyle
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology fits well with how I prefer to interact with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology fits my everyday activities
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology fits well with how I like to interact with others
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology offers a better way to complete my tasks
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology provides greater flexibility to complete my tasks
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology is a superior way to complete my tasks
- \_\_\_\_\_ The technology allows me to complete my tasks much faster
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is good to use technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is exhilarating to use technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is fun to use technologies
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is beneficial to use technologies

## Section 9

Rate each item below as 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neutral), 4 (agree), to 5 (strongly agree) with regard to yourself:

Note: The following items are taken from the International Personality Item Pool publicly available at <http://ipip.ori.org/ipip/>.

- \_\_\_\_\_ I am the life of the party
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel comfortable around people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I start conversations
- \_\_\_\_\_ I talk to a lot of different people at parties
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not mind being the center of attention
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not talk a lot
- \_\_\_\_\_ I keep in the background
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have little to say
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not like to draw attention to myself
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am quiet around strangers
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am interested in people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I sympathize with others' feelings
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have a soft heart
- \_\_\_\_\_ I take time out for others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel others' emotions
- \_\_\_\_\_ I make people feel at ease
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am not really interested in others
- \_\_\_\_\_ I insult people
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am not interested in other people's problems
- \_\_\_\_\_ I feel little concern for others
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am always prepared
- \_\_\_\_\_ I pay attention to details
- \_\_\_\_\_ I get chores done right away
- \_\_\_\_\_ I like order
- \_\_\_\_\_ I follow a schedule
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am exacting in my work
- \_\_\_\_\_ I leave my belongings around
- \_\_\_\_\_ I make a mess of things
- \_\_\_\_\_ I often forget to put things back in their proper place
- \_\_\_\_\_ I shirk my duties
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am relaxed most of the time
- \_\_\_\_\_ I seldom feel blue

- \_\_\_\_\_ I get stressed out easily
- \_\_\_\_\_ I worry about things
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am easily disturbed
- \_\_\_\_\_ I get upset easily
- \_\_\_\_\_ I change my mood a lot
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have frequent mood swings
- \_\_\_\_\_ I get irritated easily
- \_\_\_\_\_ I often feel blue
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have a rich vocabulary
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have a vivid imagination
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have excellent ideas
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am quick to understand things
- \_\_\_\_\_ I use difficult words
- \_\_\_\_\_ I spend time reflecting on things
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am full of ideas
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have difficulty understanding abstract ideas
- \_\_\_\_\_ I am not interested in abstract ideas
- \_\_\_\_\_ I do not have a good imagination

<p>Note: The following items are adapted from Feick &amp; Price, 1987; Bearden et al., 1989; and Crowne &amp; Marlowe, 1960.</p>
--

- \_\_\_\_\_ I am always willing to admit when I have made a mistake
- \_\_\_\_\_ I always try to practice what I preach
- \_\_\_\_\_ I never resent being asked to return a favor
- \_\_\_\_\_ I have never been bothered when people expressed ideas that were different from my own
- \_\_\_\_\_ No matter who I am talking to, I am always a good listener
- \_\_\_\_\_ I never hesitate to go out of my way to help someone in trouble
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ It is important that others like the products and brands that I buy
- \_\_\_\_\_ I rarely purchase the latest fashion trends until I know that my friends approve of them
- \_\_\_\_\_ I often identify with other people by purchasing the same products and brands they purchase
- \_\_\_\_\_ When buying products, I generally purchase those brands that I think others will approve
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ I like to introduce new technology brands, products or services to my friends
- \_\_\_\_\_ I like to help people by providing them with information
- \_\_\_\_\_ People often ask me for information on best buy, places to shop, or sales on technology products
- \_\_\_\_\_ If one asked me where to buy the best technology products, I could tell the person where to shop
- \_\_\_\_\_ My friends think of me as a good source of information for new technology products

\_\_\_\_\_ I know about new products, sales, and stores but I am not an expert on any particular product

Note: Some of the items below are based on information gathered from personal discussion with researchers at Nielsen Middle East.

Your age group: \_\_\_\_\_ 18-20 \_\_\_\_\_ 21-22 \_\_\_\_\_ 23-25

Your education: \_\_\_\_\_ None \_\_\_\_\_ Read/write \_\_\_\_\_ Elementary \_\_\_\_\_  
Intermediate \_\_\_\_\_ Secondary \_\_\_\_\_ College \_\_\_\_\_ University  
Other \_\_\_\_\_

Your hometown: \_\_\_\_\_ Urban \_\_\_\_\_ Suburban \_\_\_\_\_ Rural

Your household annual income:

\_\_\_\_\_ Below AED 5,000 \_\_\_\_\_ Between AED 5,000 and 10,000 \_\_\_\_\_ Between AED  
10,000 and 15,000  
\_\_\_\_\_ Between AED 15,000 and 20,000 \_\_\_\_\_ Between AED 20,000 and 25,000 \_\_\_\_\_  
Above AED 25,000

Your residence: \_\_\_\_\_ Villa \_\_\_\_\_ Apartment \_\_\_\_\_ House Other  
\_\_\_\_\_

Your nationality: \_\_\_\_\_ Local \_\_\_\_\_ Expat Arab

Gender: \_\_\_\_\_ Male \_\_\_\_\_ Female

## **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

AIM	AOL Instant Messenger
AOL	America on Line
GSM	Global System for Mobile Communications
OV	Online Video
ESPN	Entertainment and Sports Programming Network
MSU	Michigan State University
MLB	Major League Baseball
CNN	Cable News Network
NPR	National Public Radio
NGB	National Guard Bureau
NEO-PPI	Neuroticism Extroversion Openness-Psychological Personality Inventory